Original Article

http://dx.doi.org/10.4070/kcj.2013.43.5.316 Print ISSN 1738-5520 • On-line ISSN 1738-5555



Comparison of Cardiovascular Risk Factors for Peripheral Artery Disease and Coronary Artery Disease in the Korean Population

Shin Yi Jang, RN^{1,2}, Eun Young Ju, RN², Sung-II Cho, MD², Seung Wook Lee, PhD², and Duk-Kyung Kim, MD¹

Background and Objectives: The objective of this study was to analyze and compare risk factors for peripheral artery disease (PAD) and coronary artery disease (CAD).

Subjects and Methods: The sample included 7936 Korean patients aged ≥20 years who were hospitalized from 1994 to 2004. Of the 7936 subjects, PAD (n=415), CAD (n=3686), and normal controls (Control) (n=3835) were examined at the Health Promotion Center, Samsung Medical Center.

Results: The mean age (years) of PAD subjects was 64.4 (\pm 9.3), while CAD subjects was 61.2 (\pm 9.9), and Control subjects was 59.9 (\pm 9.1) (p<0.01). The proportion of males was 90.6% for PAD, 71.4% for CAD, and 75.5% for Control subjects (p<0.01). The adjusted odds ratios (ORs) for hypertension, diabetes mellitus, hypercholesterolemia, smoking, metabolic syndrome and chronic kidney disease were significantly higher in subjects with PAD or CAD compared to those in Control. However, the ORs for high density lipoprotein, being overweight, and being obese were significantly lower in PAD subjects compared to those in Control.

Conclusion: We found that cardiovascular risk factors were in fact risk factors for both PAD and CAD. (Korean Circ J 2013;43:316-328)

KEY WORDS: Peripheral artery disease; Coronary artery disease; Risk factors.

Introduction

Atherosclerosis is the leading cause of peripheral artery disease (PAD) and coronary artery disease (CAD). Some previous studies have reported that PAD is a coronary heart disease risk equivalent. Another study, however, reported that risk factors for PAD are different to those for CAD. Risk factors for atherosclerosis are hypertension (HT), diabetes mellitus (DM), hypercholesterolemia (high total cholesterol (TC) levels, high low density lipoprotein-cholesterol

Received: January 11, 2013 Revision Received: March 4, 2013 Accepted: March 18, 2013

Correspondence: Duk-Kyung Kim, MD, Division of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, 81 Irwon-ro, Gangnam-qu, Seoul 135-710, Korea

Tel: 82-2-3410-3419, Fax: 82-2-3410-0031 E-mail: dukkyung.kim@samsung.com

• The authors have no financial conflicts of interest.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

(LDL-C) levels, and/or low high density lipoprotein-cholesterol (HDL-C) levels}, smoking, older age, obesity, metabolic syndrome (MetS)⁴⁾ and chronic kidney disease (CKD).⁵⁾ Risk factors for PAD may vary depending on the affected arteries.⁶⁾

Although the prevalence of PAD and CAD is known to increase with the ageing population, ⁷⁾ few studies have compared risk factors for PAD to CAD solely in the Korean population. Therefore, our objective in the present study was to analyze and compare risk factors for PAD, CAD, and for normal controls under the hypothesis that risk factors for PAD and CAD are different from those for normal controls.

Subjects and Methods

Study population and design

We reviewed the records of patients diagnosed with PAD and CAD at the Cardiac and Vascular Center from November 1994 to November 2004 as well as those of healthy subjects (normal control group; Control) who underwent health examinations of digestive organs during the same period at the Health Promotion Center of Samsung Medical Center. We excluded patients with cardiovascular dise-

¹Division of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, Cardiovascular Imaging Center, Cardiac and Vascular Center, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul,

² Graduate School of Public Health, Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea



ase (CVD), cerebrovascular accident, or lung cancer from the normal control group. The enrolled subjects consisted of 1) patients with PAD (n=415) who had over 50% peripheral artery occlusion confirmed by lower extremity computed tomography angiography, 2) patients with CAD (n=3686) including those with stable angina, unstable angina, and acute myocardial infarction confirmed by cardiac catheterization, and 3) Control (n=3835). In addition, self reported information on the absence of CAD and PAD was used in control. Information was obtained by reviewing electronic medical charts. This study was approved by the Samsung Medical Center institutional review board; informed consent was waived for this retrospective study.

Diagnostic criteria

Cardiovascular risk factors

Subjects were defined as having HT if they were taking an anti-HT drug, had been clinically diagnosed with HT, or had either a systolic blood pressure (SBP) ≥40 mm Hg or a diastolic blood pressure (DBP) ≥90 mm Hg. Subjects who met one of the following requirements were defined as having DM: on an oral hyperglycemic agent, using insulin, clinical diagnosis of diabetes, or a fasting glucose level >126 mg/dL. Subjects were defined to have hypercholesterolemia if they met one of the following requirements: diagnosis of hypercholesterolemia or a medication history of hypercholesterolemia or TC >200 mg/dL or LDL-C >130 mg/dL. The following body mass index (BMI) categories were recognized: normal (18.5\leq BMI<23), overweight (23≤BMI<25) and obese (BMI≥25). There was no statistical meaning of adding an underweight category because the number of underweight patients was two in the PAD group, thus we included them into the BMI normal group in PAD. A patient who had smoked within a year prior to the study was defined as a smoker. The estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate (eGFR), which was used as an indicator of kidney function, was calculated using the Modification of Diet Renal Disease Study formula: eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m²)=186.3×{serum creatinine (Cr) $\}^{-1.154}$ ×(age) $^{-0.203}$ ×(0.742 if women)×(1.21 if African-Americans).

The National Kidney Foundation Kidney Disease Outcome Quality Initiative defined CKD as an eGFR <60 mL/min/ 1.73 m². Patients with MetS were classified into two groups based on the modifications suggested by the National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III.¹⁾ Diagnosis of MetS in this study was based on the presence of three or more of the following symptoms: 1) BMI ≥25 (BMI categories for Asia of International Obesity Taskforce), 2) triglyceride (TG) levels ≥150 mg/dL, 3) HDL-C levels <40 mg/dL for men and <50 mg/dL for women, 4) HT with SBP ≥130 mm Hg, DBP ≥85 mm Hg, or undergoing active antihypertensive drug therapy, and 5) fasting blood sugar (FBS) ≥100 mg/dL or active use of oral hypoglycemic agents or insulin.

A number of PAD patients had had CAD, however, CAD patients were not diagnosed with PAD in our data. Patients with PAD were divided into two groups based on the absence or presence of coexisting CAD. PAD subjects were also classified into two groups based on the modified recommendations of Haltmayer et al.⁸⁾ according to the affected arteries of the lower limb: 1) aortoiliac (AI) disease including occlusion or >50% stenosis in the abdominal aorta and common and external iliac arteries, 2) femoropopliteal (FP) disease including occlusion or >50% stenosis in the common, superficial and deep femoral, popliteal, and infrapopliteal arteries. The FP and Al groups were compared with the CAD group, because the coronary arteries are similar to the FP arteries in size.

Statistical analysis

General characteristics of PAD, CAD, and Control subjects were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance with the Bonferroni method in multiple comparisons testing for continuous variables. The χ^2 test was used to compare categorical variables. To analyze and compare risk factors between PAD subjects with coexisting CAD and those with no coexisting CAD and between PAD subjects with Al and FP, we employed Student's t-test for continuous variables and the χ^2 -test for categorical variables. Simple logistic regression analysis and multinomial logistic regression analysis were carried out to determine the association among cardiovascular risk factors in PAD. CAD, and control subjects. Two models were used to adjust variables. In Model I, age, gender, HT, DM, hypercholesterolemia, obesity grade (obese), smoking status and CKD were adjusted. Model II considered age, gender, smoking status, CKD, and MetS.

Results

The mean age of PAD subjects was 64.4 (±9.3) years, while the mean age of CAD subjects was 61.2 (±9.9) years, and that of Control subjects was 59.9 (±9.1) years (p<0.001). The proportion of males in the subject groups was as follows: 90.6% for PAD, 71.4% for CAD, and 75.5% for Control (p<0.001). More PAD subjects than CAD and Control subjects had HT, DM, and CKD (p<0.001), while more CAD subjects were smokers, had hypercholesterolemia, and were obese than PAD and Control subjects (p<0.001). Among the components of MetS, more PAD subjects had high blood pressure and high FBS (p<0.01) than patients in the other two groups, while more CAD subjects had low HDL-C levels and were obese than PAD and Control subjects (p<0.01). TC, TG, LDL-C, HDL-C, FBS, and Cr were significantly different among the three groups (p<0.001). The results after Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons are shown in Table 1.



Among PAD subjects, the proportion of Al subjects with coexisting CAD was 58.4%, while the proportion of PAD patients with no coexisting CAD was 56.8% {p=nonsignificant (NS)}. The proportion of coexisting CAD was 33.6% in PAD patients with Al and 32.2% in PAD patients with FP (p=NS) (Table 2).

In Model I, the adjusted odds ratios (ORs) for HT {OR 6.43, 95% confidence interval (CI) 4.92-8.39}, DM (OR 7.71, 95% CI 6.05-9.84), hypercholesterolemia (OR 1.50, 95% CI 1.18-1.90), smoking (OR

Table 1. General characteristics of subjects in the peripheral artery disease (PAD) group, coronary artery disease (CAD) group, and normal control (Control) group (n=7936)

Variables	PAD (n=415)	CAD (n=3686)	Control (n=3835)	p*
Age (years)	64.4±9.3	61.2±9.9	59.9±9.1	<0.001
Age group (%)				<0.001
20-49 years	6.7	13.8	14.6	
50-59 years	19.2	27.3	29.2	
60-69 years	45.1	38.1	43.0	
≥70 years	29.0	20.8	13.2	
Gender, male (%)	90.6	71.4	75.5	<0.001
SBP (mm Hg)	141.2±22.8	131.6±22.3	127.0±17.9	<0.001
DBP (mm Hg)	80.2±12.3	76.3±13.2	79.4±11.9	<0.001
Cholesterol (mg/dL)				
TC	176.9±43.4	191.5±41.9	204.8±36.5	< 0.001
TG	163.6±119.4	156.7±101.9	143.2±80.7	<0.001
LDL-C	112.4±36.6	124.6±35.6	131.7±33.9	<0.001
HDL-C	39.7±10.5	41.1±10.2	50.8±13.1	<0.001
FBS (mg/dL)	141.5±61.3	131.0±55.8	102.5±36.8	<0.001
Cr (mg/dL)	1.19±0.90	1.12±0.70	1.05±0.20	<0.001
Male	1.22±0.90	1.17±0.70	1.11±0.20	<0.001
Female	0.91±0.30	0.97±0.60	0.87±0.10	<0.001
Hypertension (%)	75.2	64.6	37.1	< 0.001
Diabetes (%)	56.6	44.4	12.4	<0.001
Hypercholesterolemia (%)	44.3	33.1	44.8	<0.001
BMI (%)				<0.001
Overweight	26.8	26.8	30.4	
Obese	22.1	51.6	33.4	
Smoking (%)	68.1	46.5	40.9	<0.001
eGFR (%)				<0.001
≥90 mL/min/1.73 m²	23.3	16.6	7.9	
60-89 mL/min/1.73 m ²	52.4	63.0	81.9	
<60 mL/min/1.73 m ²	24.3	20.4	10.2	
Metabolic syndrome (%)	59.3	58.1	30.5	<0.001
High BP	80.5	72.4	53.4	<0.001
High FBS	77.1	70.1	39.0	<0.001
High TG	40.2	40.1	35.2	<0.001
Low HDL-C	55.2	58.5	26.4	<0.001
Obese	21.5	51.6	33.4	<0.001

^{*}ANOVA or χ^2 -test for PAD vs. CAD vs. Control group; p was calculated by one way ANOVA test and Bonferroni multiple comparisons tests for continuous variables (p<0.05); CAD vs. PAD, CAD vs. Control, PAD vs. Control: age, SBP, TC, LDL-C, FBS, Cr (all); CAD vs. PAD, CAD vs. Control: DBP; CAD vs. Control, PAD vs. Control: TG, HDL-C, Cr (female); CAD vs. PAD: gender, BMI, smoking, HT, DM, eGFR; CAD vs. Control: Cr (male). SBP: systolic blood pressure, DBP: diastolic blood pressure, TC: total cholesterol, TG: triglyceride, LDL-C: low density lipoprotein-cholesterol, HDL-C: high density lipoprotein-cholesterol, FBS: fasting blood sugar, Cr: creatinine, BMI: body mass index, eGFR: estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate, BP: blood pressure, ANOVA: analysis of variance



10.3, 95% CI 7.89-13.4), and CKD (OR 1.54, 95% CI 1.14-2.04) were significantly higher in subjects with PAD compared to those in normal controls. However, the ORs for HDL-C (OR 0.92, 95% CI 0.91-0.93), being overweight (OR 0.51, 95% CI 0.38-0.67), and being obese (OR 0.32, 95% CI 0.24-0.43) were significantly lower in PAD subjects compared to those in normal controls. The ORs for HT (OR 2.89, 95% Cl 2.57-3.25), DM (OR 4.90, 95% Cl 4.28-5.61), smoking (OR 3.76, 95% CI 3.28-4.30), being overweight (OR 1.21, 95% CI 1.04-1.41), being obese (OR 1.74, 95% CI 1.51-2.01) and CKD (OR 1.46, 95% CI 1.24-1.72) were significantly higher in CAD subjects than those in normal controls. However, the ORs for hypercholesterolemia (OR 0.67, 95% CI 0.60-0.76) and HDL-C (OR 0.93, 95% CI 0.92-0.94) were

Table 2. Basal characteristics according to subgroups in patients with peripheral artery disease

W	PA	D			PAD	
Variables	With CAD (n=137)	No CAD (n=278)	- p*	AI (n=238)	FP (n=177)	— p*
Age (years)	64.9±7.9	64.1±10.0	-	65.3±9.3	63.2±9.3	-
Gender, male (%)	92.0	89.9	0.589	89.5	92.1	0.703
SBP (mm Hg)	140.0±22.3	141.8±23.0	0.377	141.0±23.7	141.5±21.5	0.410
DBP (mm Hg)	79.3±13.2	80.6±11.9	0.333	78.5±12.1	82.4±12.4	0.003
Cholesterol (mg/dL)						
TC	178.9±46.4	175.9±41.9	0.467	176.2±45.0	177.9±41.3	0.746
TG	189.8±158.2	150.7±92.2	0.001	156.2±91.1	173.4±148.9	0.157
LDL-C	113.2±40.8	112.0±34.5	0.612	113.6±38.1	110.6±34.6	0.445
HDL-C	38.7±9.1	40.3±11.2	0.147	39.1±10.5	40.7±10.6	0.178
FBS (mg/dL)	150.1±67.9	137.0±57.3	0.085	141.1±60.9	142.0±62.0	0.939
Cr (mg/dL)	1.21±0.80	1.18±0.90	0.796	1.23±0.90	1.14±0.90	0.894
Hypertension (%)	74.5	75.5	0.680	76.5	73.5	0.930
Diabetes (%)	67.2	51.4	0.012	57.6	55.4	0.635
Hypercholesterolemia (%)	55.5	38.9	0.001	47.9	39.5	0.083
BMI (%)			0.173			0.640
Overweight	27.0	25.5		22.3	31.1	
Obese	24.1	20.1		22.3	20.3	
Smoking (%)	77.1	65.4	0.345	67.7	66.1	0.477
eGFR (%)			0.609			0.188
≥90 mL/min/1.73 m ²	23.4	23.3		20.7	26.9	
60-89 mL/min/1.73 m ²	49.6	53.8		52.7	52.0	
<60 mL/min/1.73 m ²	27.0	22.9		26.6	21.1	
Metabolic syndrome (%)	60.6	58.6	0.717	60.9	57.1	0.512
High BP	77.4	82.0	0.230	81.1	76.7	0.896
High FBS	83.9	73.7	0.029	79.8	73.5	0.126
High TG	46.0	37.4	0.075	39.9	40.7	0.993
Low HDL-C	54.7	55.4	0.844	56.7	53.1	0.604
Obese	24.1	20.1	0.236	22.3	20.3	0.701
PAD-affected site (%)			0.763			-
Aortoiliac	58.4	56.8		-	-	
Femoropopliteal	41.6	43.2		-	-	
PAD with/without CAD (%)			-			0.763
No coexisting CAD	-	-		66.4	67.8	
Coexisting CAD	-	-		33.6	32.2	

^{*}Student's t-test using generalized linear model or χ^2 -test using the Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel test after adjusting for age. PAD: peripheral artery disease, CAD: coronary artery disease, AI: aortoiliac disease, FP: femoropopliteal disease, SBP: systolic blood pressure, DBP: diastolic blood pressure, TC: total cholesterol, TG: triglyceride, LDL-C: low density lipoprotein-cholesterol, HDL-C: high density lipoprotein-cholesterol, FBS: fasting blood sugar, Cr: creatinine, BMI: body mass index, eGFR: estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate, BP: blood pressure



significantly lower in the CAD group than those in the control group. In Model II, the ORs for smoking (OR 9.38, 95% CI 7.35-11.9), CKD (OR 2.14, 95% CI 1.63-2.82), and MetS (OR 3.61, 95% CI 2.89-4.51) were higher in PAD subjects than those in normal controls. Comparing CAD subjects with normal control group subjects, the ORs for smoking (OR 3.36, 95% CI 2.99-3.79), CKD (OR 1.80, 95% CI 1.56-

Table 3. Odds ratio of risk factors in PAD and CAD compared to normal control

Variables	Univariate OR (95% CI)	р	Model I OR (95% CI)	р	Model II OR (95% CI)	р
PAD (n=415)						
Age	1.05 (1.04-1.07)	< 0.001	1.05 (1.04-1.07)	< 0.001	1.08 (1.07-1.09)	<0.001
Gender	3.14 (2.24-4.40)	< 0.001	1.33 (0.90-1.95)	0.015	2.01 (1.40-2.90)	<0.001
HT	5.13 (4.07-6.47)	< 0.001	6.43 (4.92-8.39)	< 0.001	-	-
DM	9.24 (7.44-11.5)	< 0.001	7.71 (6.05-9.84)	< 0.001	-	-
Hypercholesterolemia	0.98 (0.80-1.21)	0.866	1.50 (1.18-1.90)	< 0.001	-	-
HDL-C	0.92 (0.90-0.93)	< 0.001	0.92 (0.91-0.93)	< 0.001	-	-
Smoking	7.10 (5.70-8.85)	< 0.001	10.3 (7.89-13.4)	< 0.001	9.38 (7.35-11.9)	<0.001
BMI						
Normal	1.0	< 0.001	1.0			
Overweight	0.60 (0.47-0.77)	< 0.001	0.51 (0.38-0.67)	0.002	-	-
Obese	0.45 (0.35-0.58)	< 0.001	0.32 (0.24-0.43)	< 0.001	-	-
CKD	2.83 (2.21-3.63)	< 0.001	1.54 (1.14-2.04)	0.004	2.14 (1.63-2.82)	< 0.001
MetS	3.26 (2.65-4.01)	< 0.001	-	-	3.61 (2.89-4.51)	<0.001
High BP	3.60 (2.80-4.62)	< 0.001	-	-	4.29 (3.24-5.68)	<0.001
High FBS	5.27 (4.15-6.68)	< 0.001	-	-	4.85 (3.75-6.27)	<0.001
High TG	1.24 (1.01-1.53)	0.042	-	-	0.77 (0.61-0.98)	0.034
Low HDL-C	3.43 (2.79-4.22)	< 0.001	-	-	4.13 (3.27-5.21)	<0.001
Obese	0.55 (0.43-0.70)	< 0.001	-	-	0.48 (0.37-0.63)	< 0.001
CAD (n=3686)			-	-		
Age	1.02 (1.01-1.03)	< 0.001	1.02 (1.01-1.03)	0.006	1.02 (1.01-1.03)	< 0.001
Gender	0.81 (0.73-0.90)	< 0.001	0.40 (0.34-0.46)	< 0.001	0.65 (0.57-0.73)	< 0.001
HT	3.09 (2.81-3.39)	< 0.001	2.89 (2.57-3.25)	< 0.001	-	-
DM	5.66 (5.04-6.35)	< 0.001	4.90 (4.28-5.61)	< 0.001	-	-
Hypercholesterolemia	0.61 (0.56-0.67)	< 0.001	0.67 (0.60-0.76)	< 0.001	-	-
HDL-C	0.93 (0.92-0.93)	< 0.001	0.93 (0.92-0.94)	< 0.001	-	-
Smoking	2.44 (2.20-2.70)	< 0.001	3.76 (3.28-4.30)	< 0.001	3.36 (2.99-3.79)	< 0.001
BMI						
Normal	1.0		1.0			
Overweight	1.50 (1.33-1.70)	< 0.001	1.21 (1.04-1.41)	0.012	-	-
Obese	2.63 (2.35-2.94)	< 0.001	1.74 (1.51-2.01)	< 0.001	-	-
CKD	2.27 (1.99-2.59)	< 0.001	1.46 (1.24-1.72)	< 0.001	1.80 (1.56-2.09)	< 0.001
MetS	3.85 (3.50-4.24)	< 0.001	-	-	3.66 (3.31-4.05)	< 0.001
High BP	2.29 (2.08-2.52)	<0.001	-	-	2.15 (1.91-2.41)	<0.001
High FBS	3.67 (3.33-4.04)	<0.001	-	-	3.49 (3.13-3.89)	<0.001
High TG	1.24 (1.13-1.36)	< 0.001	-	-	0.67 (0.60-0.75)	<0.001
Low HDL-C	3.93 (3.56-4.33)	<0.001	-	-	3.79 (3.39-4.25)	<0.001
Obese	2.14 (1.95-2.35)	< 0.001	-	-	1.69 (1.52-1.89)	<0.001

Models I and II were estimated by multinomial logistic regression analysis using the variables indicated in the table. PAD: peripheral artery disease, CAD: coronary artery disease, OR: odds ratio, CI: confidence interval, HT: hypertension, DM: diabetes mellitus, HDL-C: high density lipoprotein-cholesterol, BMI: body mass index, CKD: chronic kidney disease, MetS: metabolic syndrome, BP: blood pressure, FBS: fasting blood sugar, TG: triglyceride



2.09), and MetS (OR 3.66, 95% CI 3.31-4.05) were significantly higher in CAD subjects (Table 3).

We analyzed the association between cardiovascular risk factors

in 1) PAD patients with or without coexisting CAD, and in the normal control group and 2) the PAD affected site; Al or FP, and normal control group. The ORs in PAD patients with or without coexisting

Table 4. Odds ratio of risk factors in no coexisting CAD and coexisting CAD among PAD patients compared to normal control

Variables	Model I OR (95% CI)	р	Model II OR (95% CI)	р
PAD patient without coexisting CAD (n=278)				
Age	1.05 (1.03-1.06)	< 0.001	1.08 (1.06-1.09)	< 0.001
Gender	1.20 (0.77-1.89)	0.426	1.86 (1.21-2.85)	0.004
HT	6.90 (5.04-9.46)	<0.001	-	-
DM	6.24 (4.72-8.24)	<0.001	-	-
Hypercholesterolemia	1.16 (0.87-1.53)	0.309	-	-
HDL-C	0.92 (0.91-0.94)	<0.001	-	-
Smoking	9.45 (6.98-12.8)	<0.001	8.63 (6.48-11.5)	< 0.001
BMI				
Normal	1.0		-	
Overweight	0.48 (0.35-0.68)	<0.001	-	-
Obese	0.29 (0.21-0.42)	<0.001	-	-
CKD	1.50 (1.07-2.11)	<0.001	2.02 (1.47-2.80)	< 0.001
MetS	-	-	3.54 (2.72-4.60)	< 0.001
High BP	-	-	5.15 (3.64-7.29)	< 0.001
High FBS	-	-	4.13 (3.07-5.54)	< 0.001
High TG	-	-	0.68 (0.52-0.90)	0.008
Low HDL-C	-	-	4.29 (3.27-5.63)	< 0.001
Obese	-	-	0.45 (0.33-0.62)	< 0.001
PAD patient with coexisting CAD (n=137)				
Age	1.07 (1.04-1.09)	<0.001	1.09 (1.06-1.11)	< 0.001
Gender	1.67 (0.85-3.27)	0.136	2.41 (1.25-4.64)	0.009
HT	5.59 (3.67-8.51)	<0.001	-	-
DM	12.2 (8.27-18.0)	<0.001	-	-
Hypercholesterolemia	2.56 (1.76-3.73)	<0.001	-	-
HDL-C	0.91 (0.89-0.93)	<0.001	-	-
Smoking	12.2 (8.02-18.7)	< 0.001	11.1 (7.44-16.6)	< 0.001
BMI				
Normal	1.0		-	
Overweight	0.55 (0.35-0.85)	0.007	-	-
Obese	0.39 (0.25-0.62)	0.006	-	-
CKD	1.63 (1.05-2.52)	0.031	2.39 (1.58-3.63)	< 0.001
MetS	-	-	3.78 (2.63-5.42)	< 0.001
High BP	-	-	3.09 (2.01-4.74)	< 0.001
High FBS	-	-	7.09 (4.43-11.4)	<0.001
High TG		-	0.99 (0.68-1.44)	0.961
Low HDL-C	-	-	3.83 (2.66-5.52)	<0.001
Obese	-	_	0.56 (0.37-0.84)	0.006

Models I and II were estimated by multinomial logistic regression analysis of the variables indicated in the table. The ORs for CAD are presented in Supplementary Table 1. PAD: peripheral artery disease, CAD: coronary artery disease, OR: odds ratio, CI: confidence interval, HT: hypertension, DM: diabetes mellitus, HDL-C: high density lipoprotein-cholesterol, BMI: body mass index, CKD: chronic kidney disease, MetS: metabolic syndrome, BP: blood pressure, FBS: fasting blood sugar, TG: triglyceride



CAD group and AI or FP group for HT, DM, smoking, HDL-C, and obese grade (Model I) and smoking, MetS, and CKD (Model II) were similar to PAD subjects (Table 4 and 5).

Discussion

The overall findings of this study revealed that HT, DM, hyper-

Table 5. Odds ratio of risk factors in Al disease and FP disease among PAD patients compared to normal control

Variables	Model I OR (95% CI)	р	Model II OR (95% CI)	р
Aortoiliac artery disease in patients with PAD (n=238)			
Age	1.07 (1.05-1.08)	<0.001	1.09 (1.08-1.10)	<0.001
Gender	1.24 (0.77-1.98)	0.377	1.82 (1.16-2.87)	0.010
HT	6.52 (4.64-9.16)	<0.001	-	-
DM	7.80 (5.78-10.5)	<0.001	-	-
Hypercholesterolemia	1.83 (1.36-2.46)	<0.001	-	-
HDL-C	0.91 (0.90-0.93)	<0.001	-	-
Smoking	11.1 (7.99-15.5)	<0.001	10.5 (7.67-14.3)	< 0.001
BMI				
Normal	1.0		-	
Overweight	0.41 (0.29-0.59)	<0.001	-	-
Obese	0.33 (0.23-0.47)	<0.001	-	-
CKD	1.62 (1.14-2.30)	0.008	2.28 (1.64-3.18)	<0.001
MetS	-	-	3.90 (2.94-5.18)	< 0.001
High BP	-	-	4.14 (2.89-5.93)	<0.001
High FBS	-	-	5.63 (4.00-7.93)	< 0.001
High TG	-	-	0.77 (0.57-1.04)	0.087
Low HDL-C	-	-	4.30 (3.21-5.76)	< 0.001
Obese	-	-	0.52 (0.38-0.73)	<0.001
Femoropopliteal artery disease in patients with	n PAD (n=177)			
Age	1.02 (1.01-1.04)	<0.001	1.06 (1.05-1.08)	<0.001
Gender	1.90 (1.04-3.44)	0.178	2.36 (1.32-4.22)	0.004
HT	6.68 (4.58-9.74)	<0.001	-	-
DM	7.00 (5.00-9.81)	<0.001	-	-
Hypercholesterolemia	1.31 (0.93-1.84)	0.397	-	-
HDL-C	0.93 (0.91-0.94)	< 0.001	-	-
Smoking	8.70 (6.01-12.1)	<0.001	8.09 (5.71-11.5)	<0.001
BMI				
Normal	1.0		-	
Overweight	0.78 (0.53-1.15)	<0.001	-	-
Obese	0.41 (0.27-0.64)	<0.001	-	-
CKD	1.11 (0.73-1.71)	0.091	1.95 (1.31-2.91)	0.001
MetS	-	-	3.27 (2.38-4.50)	< 0.001
High BP	-	-	4.51 (3.00-6.77)	<0.001
High FBS	-	-	4.06 (2.84-5.80)	<0.001
High TG	-	-	0.78 (0.56-1.09)	0.148
Low HDL-C	-	-	4.30 (3.21-5.76)	<0.001
Obese	-	-	0.44 (0.30-0.64)	<0.001

Models I and II were estimated by multinomial logistic regression analysis of the variables indicated in the table. The ORs for CAD are presented in Supplementary Table 1. Al: aortoiliac disease, FP: femoropopliteal disease, PAD: peripheral artery disease, OR: odds ratio, Cl: confidence interval, HT: hypertension, DM: diabetes mellitus, HDL-C: high density lipoprotein-cholesterol, BMI: body mass index, CKD: chronic kidney disease, MetS: metabolic syndrome, BP: blood pressure, FBS: fasting blood sugar, TG: triglyceride



cholesterolemia, obesity, smoking, CKD and MetS are risk factors for PAD and CAD. However, obesity as a risk factor showed inconsistent results between PAD and CAD.

These findings are consistent with those of previous studies that reported that risk factors for PAD are similar to those for CAD. For instance, HT,9) DM,10) hypercholesterolemia,11) smoking,12) CKD,13) and MetS⁴⁾ are known risk factors for CAD. Furthermore, HT, ¹⁴⁾ DM, ¹⁵⁾ hypercholesterolemia, 16) smoking, 17) CKD, 5) and MetS4) are risk factors for PAD. In our study, the mean TC, LDL-C, HDL-C values were higher in the normal control group than those in the PAD or CAD group. The results from a Japanese male worker study, 18 a Korean study, 19 and a U.S. adult study based on the National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys²⁰⁾ are consistent with the high TC, LDL-C, HDL-C values that we found in our normal control group.

Obesity is one of the major risk factor for CVD, including PAD.²¹⁾ Obesity is also associated with high mortality related to chronic disease.²²⁾ However, patients with CAD or PAD have an inverse correlation between BMI and cardiovascular mortality after adjustment for confounding variables in the Factores de Riesgo y ENfermedad Arterial registry.²³⁾ The obesity paradox²⁴⁾ is that obese patients receive better treatment and care, because they are perceived to be at high risk. However, our PAD obesity results cannot be explained by the obesity paradox, because PAD subjects had occlusion or >50% stenosis in the peripheral artery confirmed by lower extremity computed tomography angiography. This corresponds to an ankle-brachial index <0.9 and the consequent development of intermittent claudication, gangrene, and pain. This leads to physically and mentally instable condition, leading to weight loss. Our PAD subjects who visited tertiary medical services already had progressed PAD with a limited radius of action, immobilization, muscle atrophy, and depression requiring surgery or intervention. The factors outlined above may explain why the obesity results were inconsistent between PAD and CAD subjects in our analysis.

The ORs for the risk factors for the absence or presence of coexisting CAD in PAD and CAD, with the exception of obesity, were similar among the groups. A study on the association of cardiovascular risk factors with patterns of lower limb atherosclerosis in 2659 patients who underwent angioplasty revealed that DM predicted PAD compared to no DM or current smoking status.²⁵⁾ An Italian study also showed that preexisting CAD with PAD was associated with risk factors for PAD.²⁶⁾ In our study, 33% of subjects with PAD had coexisting CAD. This result is consistent with previous studies that reported 21% of PAD subjects showed myocardial infarction and 26% of PAD subjects had angina.²⁷⁾ A comparison of the ORs for Al and FP according to the affected site in PAD and CAD revealed similar risks as those reported in a Turkish study (Ankara), ²⁸⁾ and two U.S.A-based studies (San Diego²⁹⁾ and southern California³⁰⁾).

This study had several limitations. First, the study was conducted retrospectively at a single center, which may have caused selection bias. We were also not able to eliminate the possibility of information bias when collecting medical records from the medical charts of the subjects and laboratory results. Second, the mean age of the subjects in the normal control group was younger than that of the subjects in the PAD and CAD groups. To minimize the effect of age, we conducted age-adjusted analysis. A further limitation of our study is that we could not consider symptoms of patients with PAD. physical activity, nutrition, socioeconomic position, waist circumference, or health behavior variables due to limited data. Further cardiovascular cohort studies considering these variables are therefore required to verify the risk factors for atherosclerosis.

Conclusions

We found significantly different ORs for risk factors, namely age, gender, HT, DM, hypercholesterolemia, HDL-C, obesity, smoking, CKD, and MetS, in the PAD and CAD groups compared to those in the Control group. Interestingly, the ORs for obesity were inconsistent between PAD and CAD subjects. In other words, obesity grade was showed opposite trends. However, in both diseases, cardiovascular risk factors were found to be risk factors. In conclusion, there appears to be no differences in risk factors for PAD and CAD in the Korean population.

References

- 1. Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults. Executive Summary of The Third Report of The National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, And Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol In Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III). JAMA 2001;285:2486-97.
- 2. Gornik HL, Creager MA. Contemporary management of peripheral arterial disease: I. Cardiovascular risk-factor modification. Cleve Clin J Med 2006;73 Suppl 4:S30-7.
- 3. Price JF, Mowbray PI, Lee AJ, Rumley A, Lowe GD, Fowkes FG. Relationship between smoking and cardiovascular risk factors in the development of peripheral arterial disease and coronary artery disease: Edinburgh Artery Study. Eur Heart J 1999;20:344-53.
- 4. Gorter PM, Olijhoek JK, van der Graaf Y, Algra A, Rabelink TJ, Visseren FL; SMART Study Group. Prevalence of the metabolic syndrome in patients with coronary heart disease, cerebrovascular disease, peripheral arterial disease or abdominal aortic aneurysm. Atherosclerosis 2004:173:363-9.
- 5. Kon V, Linton MF, Fazio S. Atherosclerosis in chronic kidney disease: the role of macrophages. Nat Rev Nephrol 2011;7:45-54.
- 6. Heuser RR. Treatment of lower extremity vascular disease: the Diamondback 360 degrees Orbital Atherectomy System. Expert Rev Med Devices 2008;5:279-86.
- 7. Grundy SM, Pasternak R, Greenland P, Smith S Jr, Fuster V. Assessment



- of cardiovascular risk by use of multiple-risk-factor assessment equations: a statement for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association and the American College of Cardiology. Circulation 1999;100:1481-92.
- 8. Haltmayer M, Mueller T, Horvath W, Luft C, Poelz W, Haidinger D. Impact of atherosclerotic risk factors on the anatomical distribution of peripheral arterial disease. Int Angiol 2001;20:200-7.
- 9. Hozawa A, Okamura T, Murakami Y, et al. Joint impact of smoking and hypertension on cardiovascular disease and all-cause mortality in Japan: NIPPON DATA80, a 19-year follow-up. Hypertens Res 2007;30: 1169-75.
- 10. Eckel RH. Wassef M. Chait A. et al. Prevention Conference VI: Diabetes and Cardiovascular Disease: Writing Group II: pathogenesis of atherosclerosis in diabetes. Circulation 2002;105:e138-43.
- 11. Hatmi ZN, Mahdavi-Mazdeh M, Hashemi-Nazari SS, Hajighasemi E, Nozari B, Mahdavi A. Trend of lipid ratios associated with well known risk factors of coronary artery disease in different age: a population based study of 31,999 healthy individuals. Int J Cardiol 2011;151:328-
- 12. Higashiyama A, Okamura T, Ono Y, Watanabe M, Kokubo Y, Okayama A. Risk of smoking and metabolic syndrome for incidence of cardiovascular disease--comparison of relative contribution in urban Japanese population: the Suita study. Circ J 2009;73:2258-63.
- 13. Kokubo Y, Nakamura S, Okamura T, et al. Relationship between blood pressure category and incidence of stroke and myocardial infarction in an urban Japanese population with and without chronic kidney disease: the Suita Study. Stroke 2009;40:2674-9.
- 14. Bennett PC, Silverman S, Gill P. Hypertension and peripheral arterial disease. J Hum Hypertens 2009;23:213-5.
- 15. American Diabetes Association. Peripheral arterial disease in people with diabetes. Diabetes Care 2003;26:3333-41.
- 16. Pasternak RC, Criqui MH, Benjamin EJ, et al. Atherosclerotic Vascular Disease Conference: Writing Group I: epidemiology. Circulation 2004; 109:2605-12.
- 17. Willigendael EM, Teijink JA, Bartelink ML, et al. Influence of smoking on incidence and prevalence of peripheral arterial disease. J Vasc Surg 2004;40:1158-65.
- 18. Tamura U, Tanaka T, Okamura T, et al. Changes in Weight, cardiovascular risk factors and estimated risk of coronary heart disease following smoking cessation in Japanese male workers: HIPOP-OHP study. J Atheroscler Thromb 2010;17:12-20.
- 19. Hwang YC, Jee JH, Oh EY, et al. Metabolic syndrome as a predictor of

- cardiovascular diseases and type 2 diabetes in Koreans. Int J Cardiol 2009:134:313-21.
- 20. Carroll MD, Lacher DA, Sorlie PD, et al. Trends in serum lipids and lipoproteins of adults, 1960-2002. JAMA 2005;294:1773-81.
- 21. Kim S, Popkin BM. Commentary: understanding the epidemiology of overweight and obesity--a real global public health concern. Int J Epidemiol 2006;35:60-7; discussion 81-2.
- 22. Bogers RP, Bemelmans WJ, Hoogenveen RT, et al. Association of overweight with increased risk of coronary heart disease partly independent of blood pressure and cholesterol levels: a meta-analysis of 21 cohort studies including more than 300 000 persons. Arch Intern Med 2007:167:1720-8.
- 23. Barba R, Bisbe J, Pedrajas JN, et al. Body mass index and outcome in patients with coronary, cerebrovascular, or peripheral artery disease: findings from the FRENA registry. Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil 2009; 16:457-63.
- 24. Steinberg BA, Cannon CP, Hernandez AF, Pan W, Peterson ED, Fonarow GC. Medical therapies and invasive treatments for coronary artery disease by body mass: the "obesity paradox" in the Get With The Guidelines database. Am J Cardiol 2007;100:1331-5.
- 25. Diehm N, Shang A, Silvestro A, et al. Association of cardiovascular risk factors with pattern of lower limb atherosclerosis in 2659 patients undergoing angioplasty. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg 2006;31:59-63.
- 26. Brevetti G, Oliva G, Di Giacomo S, Bucur R, Annecchini R, Di Iorio A. Intermittent claudication in older patients: risk factors, cardiovascular comorbidity, and severity of peripheral arterial disease. J Am Geriatr Soc 2001;49:1261-2.
- 27. Ness J, Aronow WS, Newkirk E, McDanel D. Prevalence of symptomatic peripheral arterial disease, modifiable risk factors, and appropriate use of drugs in the treatment of peripheral arterial disease in older persons seen in a university general medicine clinic. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2005;60:255-7.
- 28. Ozkan U, Oguzkurt L, Tercan F. Atherosclerotic risk factors and segmental distribution in symptomatic peripheral artery disease. J Vasc Interv Radiol 2009;20:437-41.
- 29. Aboyans V, Criqui MH, Denenberg JO, Knoke JD, Ridker PM, Fronek A. Risk factors for progression of peripheral arterial disease in large and small vessels. Circulation 2006;113:2623-9.
- 30. Criqui MH, Browner D, Fronek A, et al. Peripheral arterial disease in large vessels is epidemiologically distinct from small vessel disease. An analysis of risk factors. Am J Epidemiol 1989;129:1110-9.



- Supplement -

Supplementary Table 1 for Table 4. Odds ratio of risk factors in no coexisting CAD and coexisting CAD among PAD patients compared to normal control

N		OR (95% CI)		OR (95% CI)	р
No coexisting CAD in patients with PAD					
Age 1.05 (1.04-1.	07) <0.001	1.05 (1.03-1.06)	< 0.001	1.08 (1.06-1.09)	< 0.001
Gender 2.90 (1.95-4.	32) <0.001	1.20 (0.77-1.89)	0.426	1.86 (1.21-2.85)	0.004
HT 5.23 (3.95-6.	93) <0.001	6.90 (5.04-9.46)	< 0.001	-	-
DM 7.49 (5.81-9.	66) <0.001	6.24 (4.72-8.24)	< 0.001	-	-
Hypercholesterolemia 0.98 (0.80-1.	21) 0.055	1.16 (0.87-1.53)	0.309	-	-
HDL-C 0.92 (0.91-0.	93) <0.001	0.92 (0.91-0.94)	< 0.001	-	-
Smoking 6.63 (5.10-8.	61) <0.001	9.45 (6.98-12.8)	< 0.001	8.63 (6.48-11.5)	< 0.001
BMI					
Normal 1.0	<0.001	1.0			
Overweight 0.57 (0.43-0.	76) <0.001	0.48 (0.35-0.68)	< 0.001	-	-
Obese 0.41 (0.30-0.	56) <0.001	0.29 (0.21-0.42)	< 0.001	-	-
CKD 2.63 (1.94-3.	54) <0.001	1.50 (1.07-2.11)	< 0.001	2.02 (1.47-2.80)	<0.001
MetS 3.17 (2.47-4.	06) <0.001	-	-	3.54 (2.72-4.60)	<0.001
High BP 3.98 (2.91-5.	44) <0.001	-	-	5.15 (3.64-7.29)	<0.001
High FBS 4.39 (3.34-5.	78) <0.001	-	-	4.13 (3.07-5.54)	<0.001
High TG 1.10 (0.86-1.	42) 0.457	-	-	0.68 (0.52-0.90)	0.008
Low HDL-C 3.46 (2.71-4.	44) <0.001	-	-	4.29 (3.27-5.63)	<0.001
Obese 0.51 (0.38-0.		-	-	0.45 (0.33-0.62)	<0.001
Coexisting CAD in patients with PAD					
Age 1.06 (1.04-1.	08) <0.001	1.07 (1.04-1.09)	<0.001	1.09 (1.06-1.11)	<0.001
Gender 3.72 (2.00-6.	93) <0.001	1.67 (0.85-3.27)	0.136	2.41 (1.25-4.64)	0.009
HT 4.93 (3.34-7.	28) <0.001	5.59 (3.67-8.51)	<0.001	-	-
DM 14.5 (10.0-20		12.2 (8.27-18.0)	<0.001	-	-
Hypercholesterolemia 1.54 (1.09-2.		2.56 (1.76-3.73)	<0.001	-	-
HDL-C 0.90 (0.89-0.		0.91 (0.89-0.93)	<0.001	-	-
Smoking 8.19 (5.64-1'		12.2 (8.02-18.7)	<0.001	11.1 (7.44-16.6)	<0.001
BMI					
Normal 1.0		1.0		-	
Overweight 0.67 (0.45-1.	01) 0.055	0.55 (0.35-0.85)	0.007	-	-
Obese 0.54 (0.36-0.	83) 0.005		0.006	-	-
CKD 3.27 (2.21-4.		1.63 (1.05-2.52)	0.305	2.39 (1.58-3.63)	<0.001
MetS 3.44 (2.42-4.		-	-	3.78 (2.63-5.42)	<0.001
High BP 2.98 (1.99-4.		-	-	3.09 (2.01-4.74)	<0.001
High FBS 8.67 (5.15–1:		-	_	7.09 (4.43-11.4)	<0.001
High TG 1.57 (1.11-2.		-	-	0.99 (0.68-1.44)	0.961
Low HDL-C 3.37 (2.39-4.		-	-	3.83 (2.66-5.52)	<0.001
Obese 0.64 (0.43-0.		-	-	0.56 (0.37-0.84)	0.006
CAD					
Age 1.02 (1.01-1.	03) <0.001	1.02 (1.01-1.03)	0.006	1.02 (1.01-1.03)	<0.001
Gender 0.81 (0.73-0.		0.40 (0.34-0.46)	<0.001	0.65 (0.57-0.73)	<0.001



Supplementart Table 1 for Table 4. Continued

Variables	Univariate OR (95% CI)	р	Model I OR (95% CI)	р	Model II OR (95% CI)	р
HT	3.09 (2.81-3.39)	<0.001	2.89 (2.57-3.25)	<0.001	-	-
DM	5.66 (5.04-6.35)	< 0.001	4.90 (4.29-5.61)	< 0.001	-	-
Hypercholesterolemia	0.61 (0.56-0.67)	< 0.001	0.67 (0.60-0.76)	< 0.001	-	-
HDL-C	0.93 (0.92-0.93)	< 0.001	0.93 (0.92-0.94)	< 0.001	-	-
Smoking	2.44 (2.20-2.70)	< 0.001	3.76 (3.29-4.30)	< 0.001	3.36 (2.99-3.79)	< 0.001
BMI						
Normal	1.0		1.0		-	
Overweight	1.50 (1.33-1.70)	< 0.001	1.22 (1.05-1.41)	0.011	-	-
Obese	2.63 (2.35-2.94)	< 0.001	1.75 (1.52-2.01)	< 0.001	-	-
CKD	2.27 (1.99-2.59)	< 0.001	1.46 (1.24-1.72)	< 0.001	1.80 (1.56-2.09)	< 0.001
MetS	3.85 (3.50-4.24)	< 0.001	-	-	3.66 (3.31-3.79)	< 0.001
High BP	2.29 (2.08-2.52)	< 0.001	-	-	2.14 (1.91-2.41)	< 0.001
High FBS	3.67 (3.33-4.04)	< 0.001	-	-	3.49 (3.13-3.89)	< 0.001
High TG	1.24 (1.13-1.36)	< 0.001	-	-	0.67 (0.60-0.75)	< 0.001
Low HDL-C	3.93 (3.56-4.33)	< 0.001	-	-	3.79 (3.39-4.25)	< 0.001
Obese	2.14 (1.95-2.35)	< 0.001	-	-	1.70 (1.52-1.89)	< 0.001

Models I and II were estimated by multinomial logistic regression analysis of the variables indicated in the table. PAD: peripheral artery disease, CAD: coronary artery disease, OR: odds ratio, CI: confidence interval, HT: hypertension, DM: diabetes mellitus, HDL-C: high density lipoprotein-cholesterol, BMI: body mass index, CKD: chronic kidney disease, MetS: metabolic syndrome, BP: blood pressure, FBS: fasting blood sugar, TG: triglyceride



Supplementary Table 2 for Table 5. Odds ratio of risk factors in Al disease and FP disease among PAD patients compared to normal control

Variables	Univariate OR (95% CI)	р	Model I OR (95% CI)	р	Model II OR (95% CI)	р
Aortoiliac artery disease in patients v	with PAD					
Age	1.07 (1.05-1.08)	< 0.001	1.07 (1.05-1.08)	< 0.001	1.09 (1.08-1.10)	<0.00
Gender	2.77 (1.82-4.22)	< 0.001	1.24 (0.77-1.98)	0.377	1.82 (1.16-2.87)	0.01
HT	5.50 (4.05-7.48)	< 0.001	6.52 (4.64-9.16)	< 0.001	-	-
DM	5.66 (5.04-6.35)	< 0.001	7.80 (5.78-10.5)	< 0.001	-	-
Hypercholesterolemia	1.13 (0.87-1.47)	0.347	1.83 (1.36-2.46)	< 0.001	-	-
HDL	0.91 (0.90-0.92)	< 0.001	0.91 (0.90-0.93)	< 0.001	-	-
Smoking	7.32 (5.51-9.73)	< 0.001	11.1 (7.99-15.5)	< 0.001	10.5 (7.67-14.3)	<0.00
BMI						
Normal	1.0	< 0.001	1.0		-	
Overweight	0.57 (0.43-0.76)	< 0.001	0.41 (0.29-0.59)	< 0.001	-	-
Obese	0.44 (0.32-0.61)	< 0.001	0.33 (0.23-0.47)	< 0.001	-	-
CKD	3.20 (2.35-4.35)	< 0.001	1.62 (1.14-2.30)	0.008	2.28 (1.64-3.18)	<0.00
MetS	3.49 (2.66-4.56)	< 0.001	-	-	3.90 (2.94-5.18)	<0.00
High BP	3.74 (2.69-5.21)	< 0.001	-	-	4.14 (2.89-5.93)	<0.00
High FBS	6.19 (4.48-8.55)	< 0.001	-	-	5.63 (4.00-7.93)	<0.00
High TG	1.22 (0.94-1.60)	0.141	-	-	0.77 (0.57-1.04)	0.08
Low HDL-C	3.66 (2.80-4.77)	<0.001	-	-	4.30 (3.21-5.76)	<0.00
Obese	0.58 (0.42-0.79)	<0.001	-	-	0.52 (0.38-0.73)	<0.00
emoropopliteal artery disease in pa	tients with PAD					
Age	1.04 (1.02-1.06)	<0.001	1.02 (1.01-1.04)	<0.001	1.06 (1.05-1.08)	<0.00
Gender	3.79 (2.18-6.57)	< 0.001	1.90 (1.04-3.44)	0.178	2.36 (1.32-4.22)	0.00
HT	4.68 (3.33-6.58)	<0.001	6.68 (4.58-9.74)	<0.001	-	-
DM	8.78 (6.43-12.0)	<0.001	7.00 (5.00-9.81)	< 0.001	-	-
Hypercholesterolemia	0.81 (0.59-1.10)	0.172	1.31 (0.93-1.84)	0.397	-	-
HDL	0.92 (0.91-0.94)	<0.001	0.93 (0.91-0.94)	< 0.001	-	-
Smoking	6.82 (4.94-9.42)	<0.001	8.70 (6.01-12.1)	<0.001	8.09 (5.71-11.5)	<0.00
BMI						
Normal	1.0	<0.001	1.0		-	
Overweight	0.78 (0.55-1.10)	0.151	0.78 (0.53-1.15)	< 0.001	-	-
Obese	0.46 (0.31-0.69)	<0.001	0.41 (0.27-0.64)	<0.001	-	-
CKD	2.37 (1.62-3.46)	< 0.001	1.11 (0.73-1.71)	0.091	1.95 (1.31-2.91)	0.00
MetS	3.97 (2.19-4.03)	<0.001	-	-	3.27 (2.38-4.50)	<0.00
High BP	3.42 (2.36-4.96)	<0.001	-	-	4.51 (3.00-6.77)	<0.00
High FBS	4.33 (4.08-6.08)	<0.001	-	_	4.06 (2.84-5.80)	<0.00
High TG	1.26 (0.93-1.72)	0.137	-	-	0.78 (0.56-1.09)	0.14
Low HDL-C	3.16 (2.33-4.28)	<0.001	-	-	4.30 (3.21-5.76)	<0.00
Obese	0.51 (0.35-0.75)	<0.001	-	-	0.44 (0.30-0.64)	<0.00
CAD	(======)				(22 212 .)	
Age	1.02 (1.01-1.03)	<0.001	1.02 (1.01-1.03)	0.006	1.02 (1.01-1.03)	<0.00
Gender	0.81 (0.73-0.90)	<0.001	0.40 (0.34-0.46)	<0.001	0.64 (0.57-0.73)	<0.00
HT	3.09 (2.81-3.39)	<0.001	2.89 (2.57-3.25)	<0.001		-
DM	5.66 (5.04-6.35)	<0.001	4.90 (4.28-5.61)	<0.001	_	_



Supplementary Table 2 for Table 5. Continued

Variables	Univariate OR (95% CI)	р	Model I OR (95% CI)	р	Model II OR (95% CI)	р
Hypercholesterolemia	0.61 (0.56-0.67)	<0.001	0.67 (0.60-0.76)	<0.001	-	-
HDL	0.93 (0.92-0.93)	<0.001	0.93 (0.92-0.94)	< 0.001	-	-
Smoking	2.44 (2.20-2.70)	<0.001	3.76 (3.28-4.30)	< 0.001	3.66 (3.31-4.05)	< 0.001
BMI						
Normal	1.0		1.0		-	
Overweight	1.50 (1.33-1.70)	< 0.001	1.21 (1.04-1.41)	0.012	-	-
Obese	2.63 (2.35-2.94)	< 0.001	1.74 (1.51-2.01)	< 0.001	-	-
CKD	2.27 (1.99-2.59)	<0.001	1.46 (1.24-1.72)	< 0.001	1.80 (1.56-2.09)	< 0.001
MetS	3.85 (3.50-4.24)	< 0.001	-	-	3.36 (3.00-3.79)	< 0.001
High BP	2.29 (2.08-2.52)	< 0.001	-	-	2.15 (1.91-2.41)	< 0.001
High FBS	3.67 (3.33-4.04)	< 0.001	-	-	3.49 (3.13-3.89)	< 0.001
High TG	1.24 (1.13-1.36)	< 0.001	-	-	0.67 (0.60-0.75)	< 0.001
Low HDL-C	3.93 (3.56-4.33)	<0.001	-	-	3.79 (3.39-4.25)	< 0.001
Obese	2.14 (1.95-2.35)	<0.001	-	-	1.70 (1.52-1.89)	< 0.001

Models I and II were estimated by multinomial logistic regression analysis of the variables indicated in the table. Al: aortoiliac disease, FP: femoropopliteal disease, PAD: peripheral artery disease, CAD: coronary artery disease, OR: odds ratio, CI: confidence interval, HT: hypertension, DM: diabetes mellitus, HDL-C: high density lipoprotein-cholesterol, BMI: body mass index, CKD: chronic kidney disease, MetS: metabolic syndrome, BP: blood pressure, FBS: fasting blood sugar, TG: triglyceride